arXiv:math/0610968v3 [math.NT] 12 Nov 2006

On π-adic expansion of singular integers of the p-cyclotomic field

Roland Quême

2006 nov 12

Abstract

Let p be an odd prime. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ be the p-cyclotomic field and let O_K be the ring of integers of K. Let π be the prime ideal of K lying over p. An integer $B \in O_K$ is said singular if $B^{1/p} \notin K$ and if $BO_K = \mathbf{b}^p$ where $\mathbf{b}$ is an ideal of O_K. An integer $B \in O_K$ is said semi-primary if $B \equiv b \mod \pi^2$ where $b \in \mathbb{Z},\ b \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. Let σ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism of the field K generating the Galois group $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$. When p is irregular, there exists at least one subgroup Γ of order p of the class group of K annihilated by a polynomial $\sigma - \mu$ with $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$. We prove the existence, for each Γ, of singular semi-primary integers B where $BO_K = \mathbf{b}^p$ with class $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in \Gamma$ and $B^{\sigma-\mu} \in K^p$ and we describe their π-adic expansion. This paper is at a strictly elementary level.

1 Some definitions on cyclotomic fields

In this section, we fix some definitions and notations and remind reader of some classical properties of cyclotomic fields used in the article.

1. Let p be an odd prime. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ be the p-cyclotomic number field. Let $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ be the ring of integers of K. Let $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ be the maximal totally real subfield of K. The ring of integers of K^+ is $O_{K^+} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta + \zeta^{-1}]$. Let us denote $O_{K^+}^*$ the group of units of O_{K^+}. Let $\mathbf{F}_p$ be the finite field with p elements and $\mathbf{F}_p^*$ its multiplicative group.
2. Let us denote $\mathbf{a}$ the ideals of O_K and $Cl(\mathbf{a})$ their classes in the class group of K. Let us denote $< Cl(\mathbf{a}) >$ the finite group generated by the class $Cl(\mathbf{a})$. If $a \in O_K$ then aO_K is the principal ideal of O_K generated by a. The ideal $pO_K = \pi^{p-1}$ where π is the principal prime ideal $(1-\zeta)O_K$. Let us denote $\lambda = \zeta - 1$, so $\pi = \lambda O_K$.

3. Let $G = Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$ be the Galois group of the field K. Let σ be a K-isomorphism generating the cyclic group G. σ is defined by $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^u$ where u is a primitive root mod p.

4. For this primitive root u mod p and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote $u_i \equiv u^i \bmod p, \quad 1 \leq u_i \leq p-1$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i < 0$, this is to be understood as $u_i u^{-i} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. This notation follows the convention adopted in Ribenboim [3], last paragraph of page 118. This notation is largely used in the sequel of this article.

5. Let C_p, C_p^+ be the subgroups of exponent p of the class groups of the field K and K^+. Then $C_p = C_p^+ \oplus C_p^-$ where C_p^- is the relative p-class group C_p/C_p^+. Let r be the rank of the groups C_p. The abelian group C_p is a group of order p^r with

   $$C_p = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma_i, \tag{1}$$

   where each Γ_i is a cyclic groups of order p annihilated by $\sigma - \mu_i$ with $\mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$.

6. Similarly the p-unit group $U = O_{K^+}^*/O_{K^+}^{*p}$ is a direct sum

   $$U = \oplus_{i=1}^{(p-3)/2} U_i, \tag{2}$$

   where $U_i =< \eta_i >$ is a cyclic group of order p with $\eta_i^{\sigma-\mu_i} \in O_{K^+}^{*p}$ and where $\mu_i = u_{2m_i}$ mod p with $1 \leq m_i \leq \frac{p-3}{4}$.

7. We say that an algebraic number $C \in K$ is singular if $C^{1/p} \notin K$ and $CO_K = \mathbf{c}^p$ for some ideal $\mathbf{c}$ of K. If C is integer then C is called a singular integer. Observe that with this definition a unit is a singular integer. We say that C is singular primary if C is singular and $C \equiv c^p \bmod \pi^p, \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$. We say that the singular number C is semi-primary if $C \equiv c \bmod \pi^2, \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$. Observe that if C is primary then C is semi-primary.

8. When p is irregular, there exists at least one subgroup Γ of order p of the class group of K annihilated by a polynomial $\sigma - \mu$ with $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$. In this article we prove, for each Γ, the existence of singular semi-primary integers B where $BO_K = \mathbf{b}^p$ with class $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in \Gamma$ and $B^{\sigma-\mu} \in K^p$ and we describe their π-adic expansion.

2 On π-adic expansion of singular integers

In this section we consider the singular integers B with $BO_K = \mathbf{b}^p$ where $Cl(\mathbf{b})$ is annihilated by $\sigma - \mu$ for one $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$. The singular integer B is said respectively *negative* when $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^-$ and *positive* when $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^+$. Observe that $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \bmod p$ when $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^-$ and that $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ when $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^+$.

2.1 π-adic expansion of singular negative integers

At first, we give a general lemma dealing with congruences on p-powers of algebraic numbers of K.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\alpha, \beta \in O_K$ *with* $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \mod \pi$ *and* $\alpha \equiv \beta$ *mod* π. *Then* $\alpha^p \equiv \beta^p$ *mod* π^{p+1}.

Proof. Let $\lambda = (\zeta - 1)$. Then $\alpha - \beta \equiv 0 \mod \pi$ implies that $\alpha - \zeta^k\beta \equiv 0 \mod \pi$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. Therefore, for all k, $\quad 0 \leq k \leq p-1$, there exists $a_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\quad 0 \leq a_k \leq p-1$, such that $(\alpha - \zeta^k\beta) \equiv \lambda a_k \mod \pi^2$. For another value l, $\quad 0 \leq l \leq p-1$, we have, in the same way, $(\alpha - \zeta^l\beta) \equiv \lambda a_l \mod \pi^2$, hence $(\zeta^k - \zeta^l)\beta \equiv \lambda(a_k - a_l) \mod \pi^2$. For $k \neq l$ we get $a_k \neq a_l$, because $\pi \| (\zeta^k - \zeta^l)$ and because hypothesis $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \mod \pi$ implies that $\beta \not\equiv 0 \mod \pi$. Therefore, there exists one and only one k such that $(\alpha - \zeta^k\beta) \equiv 0 \mod \pi^2$. Then, we have $\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(\alpha - \zeta^j\beta) = (\alpha^p - \beta^p) \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{p+1}$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{b}$ *be an ideal of* O_K *such that* $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^-$ *is annihilated by* $\sigma - \mu$. *There exist singular semi-primary negative integers* B *with* $BO_K = \mathbf{b}^p$. *They verify the relation*

$$(\frac{B}{\overline{B}})^{\sigma-\mu} = (\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\alpha}})^p, \quad \alpha \in K. \tag{3}$$

Proof. The ideal $\mathbf{b}^p$ is principal. So let one $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with $\beta O_K = \mathbf{b}^p$. There exists a natural number w such that the integer $B = \beta\zeta^w$ is semi-primary. $\mathbf{b}^{\sigma-\mu}$ is principal, therefore there exists $\alpha \in K$ such that $\sigma(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}^\mu \alpha O_K$, thus there exists a natural number w' with

$$\sigma(B) = B^\mu \eta \zeta^{w'} \alpha^p, \quad \eta \in O_{K^+}^*, \quad w' \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

B is semi-primary, hence $\sigma(B)$ is semi-primary. η and α^p are semi-primary, hence $w' = 0$. Then $\sigma(B) = B^\mu \eta \alpha^p$ and by conjugation $\sigma(\overline{B}) = \overline{B}^\mu \eta \overline{\alpha}^p$ and the result follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *The singular semi-primary negative integer* B *defined in previous lemma 2.2 verifies* $\mu = u_{2m+1}$ *for a natural integer* m, $\quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$. *If the singular number* $C = \frac{B}{\overline{B}}$ *is non-primary then* $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel C - 1$.

Proof.

1. $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^-$, hence $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \mod p$, thus $\mu = u_{2m+1}$ for a natural number m, $0 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$. From Stickelberger theorem $\sigma - u$ does not annihilate $Cl(\mathbf{b})$, hence $m \neq 0$.

2. The definition of C implies that $C \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$. Observe that $v_\pi(C-1)$ is odd because $C\overline{C} = 1$. Therefore the hypothesis C non-primary implies that $v_\pi(C-1) \leq p-2$. There exists a natural integer ν such that $\pi^\nu \parallel C-1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &C \equiv 1 + c_0\lambda^\nu \bmod \lambda^{\nu+1},\ \nu \leq p-2, \\ &c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_0 \not\equiv 0 \bmod p. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

   We have to prove that $\nu \leq p-2$ implies that $\nu = 2m+1$:

3. From lemma 2.2 p.3, it follows that $\sigma(C) = C^\mu \times \alpha_1^p$, with $\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\overline{\alpha}}$, and so that $1 + c_0\sigma(\lambda)^\nu \equiv (1+\mu c_0\lambda^\nu) \times \alpha_1^p \bmod \pi^{\nu+1}$. In the other hand $\alpha_1 \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ and then, from lemma 2.1, $\alpha_1^p \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p+1}$. Then $1 + c_0\sigma(\lambda)^\nu \equiv 1 + \mu c_0\lambda^\nu \bmod \lambda^{\nu+1}$, and so $\sigma(\lambda^\nu) \equiv \mu\lambda^\nu \bmod \pi^{\nu+1}$. This implies that $\sigma(\zeta-1)^\nu \equiv \mu\lambda^\nu \bmod \pi^{\nu+1}$, so that $(\zeta^u - 1)^\nu \equiv \mu\lambda^\nu \bmod \pi^{\nu+1}$, so that $((\lambda+1)^u - 1)^\nu \equiv \mu\lambda^\nu \bmod \pi^{\nu+1}$ and finally $u^\nu\lambda^\nu \equiv \mu\lambda^\nu \bmod \pi^{\nu+1}$, hence $u^\nu - \mu \equiv 0 \bmod \pi$. Therefore, we have proved that $\nu = 2m+1$.

□

In this theorem we generalize to singular integers B the results obtained for singular numbers C in lemma 2.2 and 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *Let B be a singular semi-primary negative integer. There exist a unit $\eta \in O_{K^+}^*$ given by $B\overline{B} = \eta \times \beta^p$, $\beta \in O_K$, and a singular negative integer $B' = \frac{B^2}{\eta}$ such that*

$$\sigma(B') = B'^\mu \times \alpha'^p, \quad \alpha' \in K, \tag{5}$$

If B' is non-primary then $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel (B')^{p-1} - 1$.

Proof. From lemma 2.2 we have $(\frac{B}{\overline{B}})^{\sigma-\mu} = (\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\alpha}})^p$. $Cl(\mathbf{b}) \in C_p^-$ implies that $\mathbf{b}\overline{\mathbf{b}}$ is principal and so that $B\overline{B} = \eta\beta^p$ with $\beta \in O_K$ and $\eta \in O_{K^+}^*$. Therefore

$$(\frac{B^2}{\eta})^{\sigma-\mu} = (\frac{B^2}{\frac{B\overline{B}}{\beta^p}})^{\sigma-\mu} = (\frac{B}{\overline{B}} \times \beta^p)^{\sigma-\mu} = (\frac{\alpha}{\overline{\alpha}} \times \beta^{\sigma-\mu})^p.$$

Let us denote $B' = \frac{B^2}{\eta}$, $\quad B' \in O_K$, $\quad v_\pi(B') = 0$. We get

$$\sigma(B') = (B')^\mu \times \alpha'^p,\ \alpha' \in K. \tag{6}$$

This relation leads to

$$\sigma(B')^{p-1} \equiv (B')^{(p-1)\mu} \bmod \pi^{p+1}. \tag{7}$$

If B' is non-primary then it leads in the same way than in lemma 2.3 p. 3 to the congruence

$$\pi^{2m+1} \parallel (B')^{p-1} - 1,$$

which achieves the proof. □

Remark: If B is singular primary then $\eta = 1$ from a theorem of Furtwangler, see Ribenboim [3] (6C) p. 182.

The case $\mu = u_{2m+1}$ with $2m+1 > \frac{p-1}{2}$

Let us consider the singular number C defined in lemma 2.3 p. 3. The number C can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&C = 1 + \gamma + \gamma_0\zeta + \gamma_1\zeta^u + \cdots + \gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-3}},\\
&\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad v_p(\gamma) \geq 0, \quad \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad v_p(\gamma_i) \geq 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, p-3,\\
&\gamma + \gamma_0\zeta + \gamma_1\zeta^u + \cdots + \gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-3}} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi^{2m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the theorem 2.6 p. 6, we shall compute the coefficients γ and γ_i mod p.

Lemma 2.5. *C verifies the congruences*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma \equiv -\frac{\gamma_{p-3}}{\mu - 1} \ \mathit{mod}\ p,\\
&\gamma_0 \equiv -\mu^{-1} \times \gamma_{p-3} \ \mathit{mod}\ p,\\
&\gamma_1 \equiv -(\mu^{-2} + \mu^{-1}) \times \gamma_{p-3} \ \mathit{mod}\ p,\\
&\vdots\\
&\gamma_{p-4} \equiv -(\mu^{-(p-3)} + \cdots + \mu^{-1}) \times \gamma_{p-3} \ \mathit{mod}\ p.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have seen in lemma 2.2 p. 3 that $\sigma(C) \equiv C^\mu \bmod \pi^{p+1}$. From $2m+1 > \frac{p-1}{2}$ we derive that

$$C^\mu \equiv 1 + \mu \times (\gamma + \gamma_0\zeta + \gamma_1\zeta^u + \cdots + \gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-3}}) \bmod \pi^{p-1}.$$

In the other hand, we get by conjugation

$$\sigma(C) = 1 + \gamma + \gamma_0\zeta^u + \gamma_1\zeta^{u_2} + \cdots + \gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-2}}. \tag{8}$$

We have the identity

$$\gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-2}} = -\gamma_{p-3} - \gamma_{p-3}\zeta - \cdots - \gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-3}}.$$

This leads to

$$\sigma(C) = 1 + \gamma - \gamma_{p-3} - \gamma_{p-3}\zeta + (\gamma_0 - \gamma_{p-3})\zeta^u + \cdots + (\gamma_{p-4} - \gamma_{p-3})\zeta^{u_{p-3}}.$$

Therefore, from the congruence $\sigma(C) \equiv C^\mu \bmod \pi^{p+1}$ we get the congruences in the basis $1, \zeta, \zeta^u, \dots, \zeta^{u_{p-3}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 + \mu\gamma \equiv 1 + \gamma - \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\mu\gamma_0 \equiv -\gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\mu\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma_0 - \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\mu\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\vdots\\
&\mu\gamma_{p-4} \equiv \gamma_{p-5} - \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\mu\gamma_{p-3} \equiv \gamma_{p-4} - \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p.
\end{aligned}$$

From these congruences, we get $\gamma \equiv -\frac{\gamma_{p-3}}{\mu-1} \bmod p$ and $\gamma_0 \equiv -\mu^{-1}\gamma_{p-3} \bmod p$ and then $\gamma_1 \equiv \mu^{-1}(\gamma_0 - \gamma_{p-3}) \equiv \mu^{-1}(-\mu^{-1}\gamma_{p-3} - \gamma_{p-3}) \equiv -(\mu^{-2} + \mu^{-1})\gamma_{p-3} \bmod p$ and $\gamma_2 \equiv \mu^{-1}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_{p-3}) \equiv \mu^{-1}(-(\mu^{-2}+\mu^{-1})\gamma_{p-3} - \gamma_{p-3}) \equiv -(\mu^{-3}+\mu^{-2}+\mu^{-1})\gamma_{p-3} \bmod p$ and so on. □

Theorem 2.6. *If $2m + 1 > \frac{p-1}{2}$ then C verifies the congruence*

$$C \equiv 1 - \delta \times (\zeta + \mu^{-1}\zeta^u + \cdots + \mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-2}}) \ mod\ \pi^{p-1}, \tag{9}$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is coprime with p when C is non-primary.

Proof. The result is trivial if C is primary. Suppose that C is not primary. From definition of C, setting $C = 1 + V$, we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
&C = 1 + V,\\
&V = \gamma + \gamma_0\zeta + \gamma_1\zeta^u + \cdots + \gamma_{p-3}\zeta^{u_{p-3}},\\
&\sigma(V) \equiv \mu \times V \bmod \pi^{p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, from lemma 2.5 p. 5, observing that $\mu^{-(p-2)} + \cdots + \mu^{-1} \equiv -1 \bmod p$, we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu = u_{2m+1},\\
&\gamma \equiv -\frac{\gamma_{p-3}}{\mu-1} \bmod p,\\
&\gamma_0 \equiv -\mu^{-1} \times \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\gamma_1 \equiv -(\mu^{-2}+\mu^{-1}) \times \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\vdots\\
&\gamma_{p-4} \equiv -(\mu^{-(p-3)}+\cdots+\mu^{-1}) \times \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p,\\
&\gamma_{p-3} \equiv -(\mu^{-(p-2)}+\cdots+\mu^{-1}) \times \gamma_{p-3} \bmod p.
\end{aligned}$$

From these relations we get

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{1}{\mu-1}+\mu^{-1}\zeta+(\mu^{-2}+\mu^{-1})\zeta^u+\cdots+(\mu^{-(p-2)}+\cdots+\mu^{-1})\zeta^{u_{p-3}}) \bmod p,$$

hence

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{1}{\mu-1}+\mu^{-1}(\zeta+(\mu^{-1}+1)\zeta^u+\cdots+(\mu^{-(p-3)}+\cdots+1)\zeta^{u_{p-3}})) \bmod p,$$

hence

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{1}{\mu-1}+\mu^{-1}(\frac{(\mu^{-1}-1)\zeta+(\mu^{-2}-1)\zeta^u+\cdots+(\mu^{-(p-2)}-1)\zeta^{u_{p-3}}}{\mu^{-1}-1})) \bmod p,$$

hence

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{1}{\mu-1}+\mu^{-1}(\frac{\mu^{-1}\zeta+\mu^{-2}\zeta^u+\cdots+\mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-3}}-\zeta-\zeta^u-\cdots-\zeta^{u_{p-3}}}{\mu^{-1}-1})) \bmod p.$$

In the other hand $-\zeta-\zeta^u-\cdots-\zeta^{u_{p-3}} = 1+\zeta^{u_{p-2}}$ and $\mu^{-(p-1)} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ implies that

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{1}{\mu-1}+\mu^{-1}(\frac{1+\mu^{-1}\zeta+\mu^{-2}\zeta^u+\cdots+\mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-3}}+\mu^{-(p-1)}\zeta^{u_{p-2}}}{\mu^{-1}-1})) \bmod p,$$

hence

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{1}{\mu-1})\times(1-(1+\mu^{-1}\zeta+\mu^{-2}\zeta^u+\cdots+\mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-3}}+\mu^{-(p-1)}\zeta^{u_{p-2}})) \bmod p,$$

hence

$$V \equiv -\gamma_{p-3}\times(\frac{\mu^{-1}}{\mu-1})\times(\zeta+\mu^{-1}\zeta^u+\cdots+\mu^{-(p-3)}\zeta^{u_{p-3}}+\mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-2}}) \bmod p,$$

which achieves the proof. □

Remark: we have a similar result with $(B')^{p-1}$ in place of C.

2.2 π-adic expansion of singular positive integers

Let $\mathbf{b}$ be an ideal of O_K whose class $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in C_p^+$ is annihilated by $\sigma - \mu$. In that case $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and $\mu = u_{2m} \bmod p,\ 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$.

Theorem 2.7.

1. *There exists singular semi-primary positive integers $B \in O_K$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} &BO_K = \mathbf{b}^p,\\ &\sigma(B) = B^\mu \times \alpha^p, \quad \alpha \in K, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

2. *If B is non-primary then $\pi^{2m} \parallel B^{p-1} - 1$.*

Proof. There exists semi-primary integers B' with $B'O_K = \mathbf{b}^p$ such that

$$\sigma(B') = B'^\mu \times \alpha^p \times \eta, \quad \alpha \in K, \quad \eta \in O_{K^+}^*.$$

From independent forward theorem 3.1 p. 10 dealing with unit group $O_{K^+}^*$, the unit η verifies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta = \eta_1^{l_1} \times (\prod_{j=2}^N \eta_j^{l_j}), \quad l_j \in \mathbf{F}_p, \quad 1 \leq N < \frac{p-3}{2},\\ &\sigma(\eta_1) = \eta_1^\mu \times \beta_1^p, \quad \eta_1, \beta_1 \in O_{K^+}^*,\\ &\sigma(\eta_j) = \eta_j^{\nu_j} \times \beta_j^p, \quad \eta_j, \beta_j \in O_{K^+}^*, \quad j = 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\nu_j \neq \nu_{j'}$ for $2 \leq j < j' \leq N$ and $\nu_j \neq \mu$ for $j = 2, \dots, N$. Let us denote $E = \eta_1^{l_1}$ and $U = \prod_{j=2}^N \eta_j^{l_j}$, hence $\eta = EU$. Show that there exists $V \in O_{K^+}^*$ of form $V = \prod_{j=2}^N \eta_j^{\rho_j}$ such that

$$\sigma(V) \times V^{-\mu} = U^{-1} \times \varepsilon^p, \quad \varepsilon \in O_{K^+}^* \tag{12}$$

It is sufficient that

$$\eta_j^{\rho_j \nu_j} \times \eta_j^{-\rho_j \mu} = \eta_j^{-l_j} \times \varepsilon_j^p, \quad \varepsilon_j \in O_{K^+}^*, \quad j = 2, \dots, N,$$

hence that

$$\rho_j \equiv \frac{-l_j}{\nu_j - \mu} \bmod p, \quad j = 2, \dots, N,$$

which is possible, because $\nu_j \not\equiv \mu, \quad j = 2, \dots, N$. Therefore, for $B = B' \times V$, we get $B' = BV^{-1}$ and so

$$\sigma(B') = \sigma(BV^{-1}) = B'^{\mu}\alpha^p\eta = (BV^{-1})^{\mu}\alpha^p\eta = (BV^{-1})^{\mu} \times \alpha^p \times E \times U,$$

hence

$$\sigma(B) = B^{\mu}\sigma(V)V^{-\mu} \times \alpha^p \times E \times U.$$

From relation (12) we get

$$\sigma(B) = B^{\mu}(U^{-1}\varepsilon^p \times \alpha^p \times E \times U),$$

hence we get the two simultaneous relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(B) &= B^{\mu} \times \alpha^p \times \varepsilon^p \times E, \quad \alpha \in K, \\ \sigma(E) &= E^{\mu} \times \varepsilon_1^p, \quad \varepsilon_1 \in O_{K^+} * . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Show that

$$B^{\sigma-\mu} \in K^p. \tag{14}$$

1. If $E \in O_{K^+}^{*p}$, it is clear from relation (13).
2. If $E \notin O_{K^+}^{*p}$

   $$\sigma(B) = B^{\mu} \times \alpha_1^p \times E, \quad \alpha_1 \in K, \tag{15}$$

   hence raising (15) to μ-power we get

   $$\sigma(B)^{\mu} = B^{\mu^2} \times \alpha_1^{p\mu} \times E^{\mu}, \quad \alpha_1 \in K, \tag{16}$$

   and also applying σ to relation (15) we get

   $$\sigma^2(B) = \sigma(B)^{\mu} \times E^{\mu} \times b^p. \quad b \in K, \tag{17}$$

   Then, gathering these two relations (16) and (17) we get

   $$c^p B^{\mu\sigma} B^{-\mu^2} = B^{\sigma^2} B^{-\mu\sigma},$$

   thus

   $$B^{(\sigma-\mu)^2} = c^p, \quad c \in K.$$

   In the other hand $B^{\sigma^{p-1}-1} = 1$. But in the euclidean field $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$, we have $gcd((X^{p-1} - 1), (X - \mu)^2)) = X - \mu$. Therefore $B^{\sigma-\mu} = \alpha_3^p, \quad \alpha_3 \in K$, and so $\sigma(B) = B^{\mu} \times \alpha_3^p$.

The end of proof is similar to the proof of previous lemma 2.4 p. 4. $\square$

Theorem 2.8. *Let B be the singular positive number defined in theorem 2.7. If $m > \frac{p-1}{4}$ then B verifies the congruence mod p:*

$$B^{p-1} \equiv 1 - \delta \times (\zeta + \mu^{-1}\zeta^{u} + \cdots + \mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-2}}) \ mod \ \pi^{p-1}, \tag{18}$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is coprime with p when B is non-primary

Proof. If B is primary then it results of definition of primary numbers . If B is non-primary the proof is similar to theorem 2.6 proof. $\square$

3 On π-adic expansion of singular units

Let us fix η for one of the units η_i of definition relation (2). The singular units η verify $\eta^{\sigma-\mu} \in O_{K^+}^{*p}$. Therefore the results on singular integers B non-units of section 2 p. 2 can be translated *mutatis mutandis* to get similar results for the unit p-group $U = O_{K^+}^{*}/O_{K^+}^{*p}$:

Theorem 3.1. *Let m be a natural number $1 \leq m \leq m$. There exists singular units $\eta \in O_{K^+}^{*}$ verifying $\sigma(\eta) = \eta^{\mu} \times \varepsilon^{p}$ with $\mu = u_{2m}$ and $\varepsilon \in O_{K^+}^{*}$. If η is non-primary then $\pi^{2m} \parallel \eta^{p-1} - 1$.*

The case $\mu = u_{2m}$ with $2m > \frac{p-1}{2}$

The next theorem for the p-unit group $U = O_{K^+}^{*}/O_{K^+}^{*p}$ is the translation of the similar theorem 2.6 p. 6 for the singular negative integers.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mu = u_{2m}$, $p - 3 \geq 2m > \frac{p-1}{2}$. The singular units η with $\eta^{\sigma-\mu} \in O_{K^+}^{*}$ verify the explicit congruence:*

$$\eta^{p-1} \equiv 1 - \delta \times (\zeta + \mu^{-1}\zeta^{u} + \cdots + \mu^{-(p-2)}\zeta^{u_{p-2}}) \ mod \ \pi^{p-1}, \tag{19}$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is coprime with p when η is non-primary.

References

[1] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[2] P. Ribenboim, *13 Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[3] P. Ribenboim, *Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, 2001.

[4] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Springer, 1996.

Roland Quême
13 avenue du château d'eau
31490 Brax
France
mailto: roland.queme@wanadoo.fr